### DATA REPORT NO. 110 PROPULSION AND FIRE PROTECTION BRANCH, ANA-420

ACTIVITY 920-003-14T

FIRE RESISTANCE, FLAMMABILITY AND SMOKE CHARACTERISTICS OF RAIL TRANSIT CAR BODY STRUCTURAL PANELS

Prepared by

Eldon B. Nicholas

August 1974

Federal Aviation Administration National Aviation Facilities Experimental Center Atlantic City, New Jersey 08405

### INTRODUCTION

### Purpose

To determine the fire resistance, flammability and smoke characteristics of rail transit car body structural panels and materials.

### Background

The Boeing Vertol Company of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, having a contract with the Department of Transportation for the development of transit car interiors, requested that the National Aviation Facilities Experimental Center (NAFEC) conduct fire resistance tests on fabricated assemblies representative of the side construction of a typical transit car body. In addition to the fire resistance tests, flammability and smoke characteristic tests of some of the assembly component were requested.

This work was accomplished through an agreement between the Federal Aviation Administration, NAFEC, and the Boeing Vertol Company, Agreement No. NAFEC 237, Activity No. 920-003-14T. A copy of this agreement is included as attachment 1.

### DISCUSSION

### Test Methods

The test methods utilized to determine the fire resistance, flammability, smoke and carbon monoxide characteristics of the assemblies and materials provided through the Boeing Vertol Company are described below:

Two-Gallon-per-Hour Kerosene Burner: This method was used to determine the fire and heat penetration resistance of the fabricated assemblies.

The 2-gph kerosene burner consists of a converted oil burner modified to produce a  $2000^{\circ}$ F flame with a total heat flux of 16 Btu per sq ft per sec and an approximately 6- by 11-inch elliptical flame pattern on the test specimen.

The burner simulates the heat output of a large fuel fire and is normally used in the NAFEC laboratory to test the fire resistance of aircraft fuselage sections. However, since the purpose of this test was to examine the effect of a platform or trash fire on a transit car body exterior, the burner was positioned such that a temperature of approximately  $1650^{\circ}$ F was recorded on the exterior surface of the panel.

The 2-foot-square panel was bolted or clamped to the open end of a 16-cubic-foot closed rectangular box. A glass window at the opposite end of the box allowed for observation and movies of the panel's interior surface.

Temperatures were measured (1) near the flame exposed surface of the test panel (flame temperature); (2) behind the insulation material; (3) on the interior surface of the test panel (3 thermocouples with spring tension against the surface); and (4) inside the test box,  $1\frac{1}{2}$  to 2 inches from the surface of the panel.

In addition to temperature measurements, the test box is equipped with a photometer for measuring the smoke density, utilizing a photocell/light source to measure the percentage of light transmission through a distance of 2 feet.

Radiant Panel: A detailed description of this test method is found in part 14 of the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards as E-162-67, titled, "Surface Flammability of Materials Using a Radiant Heat Energy Source."

Basically this test method employs a radiant heat source consisting of a 12-by 18-inch panel operating at a temperature of 1238°F in front of which is placed an inclined 6- by 18-inch specimen. The orientation of the specimen is such that ignition is forced by a pilot flame impinging on the upper edge and the flame front progresses downward.

A factor derived from the rate of progress of the flame front and another relating to the rate of heat liberation by the material are combined to provide a flame spread index  $(I_s)$ .

NBS Smoke Chamber: This method was utilized to determine the levels of smoke and carbon monoxide generated during combustion of the materials.

The test employs an electrically heated radiant energy source producing an irradiance level of 2.2 Btu per sq sec on the surface of a vertically mounted 3- by 3-inch specimen. In addition to the radiant heat source, a six-tube burner is provided to apply a row of premixed (air-propane) flamelets across the lower edge of the specimen. The materials are tested for two fire exposure conditions, (1) smoldering or nonflaming and (2) flaming, within a closed 18-cubic-foot chamber. A photometer system with a 36-inch-vertical light path measures the continuous decrease in light transmission as smoke accumulates. Results are expressed in terms of specific optical density ( $D_{\rm S}$ ), derived from a geometrical factor and the measured optical density (light absorbance).

A more detailed description of the test method and apparatus can be obtained from NBS Technical Note 708, titled, "Laboratory Evaluation of Smoke Density Chamber" or National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 258-T-1974 tentative "Standard Test Method for Measuring the Smoke Generated by Solid Materials," printed in the 1974 NFPA book of Technical Committee Reports, Volume 1B.

Carbon monoxide was also measured during the smoke test by sampling from the geometric center of the smoke chamber into a Mine Safety Appliance (MSA), Lira Model 303, infrared analyzer. This instrument is capable of continuously measuring CO in a range extending from 0-2000 parts per million (ppm).

### Test Results

Two-Gallon-per-Hour Kerosene Burner: Four panels of different construction representative of a typical transit car body side assembly were tested for flame and heat penetration resistance with the 2-gph kerosene burner test apparatus.

Test 1 - Acrylic (Swedlow) composite construction consisting of 0.10-inch fiberglass sheet with 0.10-inch acrylic facing, 0.75-inch aluminum honeycomb core, 0.10-inch fiberglass sheet, 3-inch aluminum channel stiffeners, 2.5-inch aluminum-backed fiberglass insulation, and 0.14-inch fiberglass sheet with 0.10-inch acrylic facing.

Temperatures were recorded at six different locations and are contained in table I. There was no measurable increase in backface temperature after the 10-minute test nor accumulation detected by the photometer.

Observations made during the test showed that blistering of the acrylic material started at about 0.5 minute after the flame was applied and increased in size until 1.25 minutes. Charring of the acrylic material extending outside of the flame pattern was observed at 1.5 minutes. The exposed surface of the panel appeared to be flaming after 3.25 minutes and flaking off at 3.5 minutes. Flashing of the exposed surface was observed at 8.0 minutes, and flaming continued for approximately 1 minute after burner removal.

Test 2 - Balsa core composite consisting of 0.13-inch fiber-glass reinforced laminate with 0.13-inch acrylic (Swedlow) facing, 0.75-inch end-grain balsa core and fiberglass reinforced laminate backface. The edges of the panel were sealed with aluminum; however, for this test three holes were drilled in the lower edge of the panel to allow pressure release.

Temperatures are tabulated in table I. The backface temperature only increased  $40\text{-}60^{\circ}\text{F}$  by the end of the test.

Observations made during this test were almost identical to test 1. After 10 minutes there was no burn-through and no measurable smoke inside the test box.

Test 3 - Stainless steel construction consisting of a 0.09-inch stainless steel exterior sheet with inside surface coated with red oxide primer and Insulmat, Corten Z stiffeners, aluminum-backed 3-inch fiberglass insulation, and formica-covered 0.125-inch aluminum interior panel.

Temperature data are tabulated in table I. A small increase in backface temperature similar to the previous test was measured. The temperature of the insulation backface reached 360°F at 10 minutes and apparently was high enough to melt the adhesive used for bonding the Formica covering to the aluminum. This was determined by the observation of a heavy dark oily substance dripping from the lower edge of the panel and the strong adhesive odor detected at approximately 3 minutes. Examination of the panel after the test showed some scorching of the insulation. No smoke was detected.

Test 4 - Aluminum construction composed of a 0.125-inch aluminum exterior sheet with red oxide primer inside coating and Insulmat, aluminum stiffeners, 3-inch aluminum-backed fiberglass insulation, and Formica covered 0.125-inch aluminum interior panel.

Temperatures recorded during this test are in table I. Thermal profiles were similar to the two previous tests.

Warping of the aluminum exterior skin caused several of the spot welds which attached the skin to the aluminum stiffeners to pull apart. This allowed heavy smoke to escape from the inside of the panel (probably from the heated primer and insulation). The exposed aluminum began to soften, and melting appeared imminent at the end of the 10-minute test.

Radiant Panel: Three of the materials used in the construction of the panel assemblies were tested on the Radiant Panel.

Material No. 1 - 0.10-inch fiberglass sheet with 0.10-inch acrylic facing (Swedlow). Three specimens of the material were tested. The average flame spread index  $(I_s)$  was 74.7 for this material.

Flashing of pyrolysis gases and flaking of the acrylic material were witnessed during this test. Smoke was somewhat acrid and gray in color.

Exhaust stack temperatures recorded during the 15-minute test are listed in table II. To determine the increase in temperature from material combustion, subtract 200°C (180°C radiant panel plus 20°C pilot flame contribution) from the temperature.

Material No. 2 - Formica bonded to 0.125-inch aluminum. Radiant panel tests resulted in an average  $I_{\rm S}$  of 28 for three test specimens.

Snapping and crackling of the of the formica material occurred as the surface of the material blistered. Heavy white smoke was observed emitting from the exposed surface.

Exhaust stack temperatures are shown in table II. The maximum average stack temperature increase was 43 C less than measured for the acrylic/fiberglass laminate.

Material No. 3 - Fiberglass sheet with acrylic (Rohm and Haas) facing.

Only two specimens of this material were tested. Surface burning was rapid, reaching the 15-inch mark by 6 minutes. The average  $\mathbf{I}_s$  for the two specimens was 192, which was considerably greater than the two previous materials. A heavy black smoke with a very acrid odor was observed.

Exhaust stack temperatures are shown in table II. The temperature rise can be determined as in the previous two tests; however, the initial stack temperature was  $10^{\circ}\text{C}$  lower. The maximum average stack temperature increase was  $66^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $109^{\circ}\text{C}$  greater than materials 1 and 2, respectively.

NBS Smoke Chamber: Smoke density and carbon monoxide concentrations were measured in the NBS chamber for the same three materials previously tested with the Radiant Panel.

Material No. 1 - 0.10-inch fiberglass sheet with 0.10-inch acrylic (Swedlow) facing. Smoke and CO data are shown in tables III and IV for flaming and smoldering exposure test conditions, respectively. For both exposure conditions, smoke and CO levels were still increasing at the end of the 20-minute tests. The concentration of smoke was comparable for both exposure conditions; however, the flaming CO levels were a factor of 10 or more greater than the smoldering values.

Material No. 2 - Formica bonded to 0.125-inch aluminum. Flaming and smoldering smoke and CO data are in tables V and VI. Smoke reached a peak concentration near the end of the test of  $D_{\rm S}=130$  and 102 for flaming and smoldering conditions, respectively. The concentration of CO increased throughout the test and was greater under flaming exposure (1063 ppm vs. 638 ppm at 20 minutes).

Material No. 3 - Fiberglass sheet with acrylic (Rohm and Haas) facing. Only two specimens of this material were tested in the flaming test condition. Results of these tests are contained in table VII. Compared to the Swedlow acrylic/fiberglass laminate, the smoke was two to three times greater and the CO was about the same.

Table VIII contains test results of specimens that because of the lack of available materials only one test could be made. These tests include:

- 1. Formica material removed from the 0.125-inch aluminum sheet, flaming test condition.
- 2. Formica material removed from the 0.125-inch aluminum sheet, smoldering test condition.
- 3. Fiberglass sheet with acrylic (Rohm and Haas) facing, smoldering test condition. Both smoke and CO levels were significantly higher than evidenced with the Swedlow fiberglass/acrylic laminate.

### Summary

The four rail transit car body side assemblies when tested for fire resistance and flame penetration utilizing the 2-gph kerosene burner showed no flame penetration of the exterior (exposed) surface after being subjected to the 1650-1750°F flame. There was no recorded smoke accumulation inside the test box and no excessive high temperatures behind the insulation materials or interior surface of the panel. The acrylic (Swedlow) composite construction material had the lowest temperatures both behind the insulation material and on the unexposed surface.

The radiant panel flame spread index for the Swedlow acrylic/ fiberglass laminate was much lower than the similar Rohm and Haas material ( $I_S=75~\rm vs.~192$ ). An even lower rating was obtained with the Formica/ aluminum laminate ( $I_S=29$ ), which undoubtedly was favorably influenced by the aluminum heat sink effect. Both acrylic/fiberglass laminate are well above the goal of  $I_S=25~\rm sometimes$  set by regulatory bodies and material developers.

The smokiest material tested was the Rohm and Haas acrylic/fiberglass laminate which attained maximum specific optical densities of 425 and 368 under flaming and smoldering conditions, respectively. In comparison, the maximum smoke was in the 100-200 range for both the Swedlow acrylic/fiberglass and Formica/aluminum laminates.

Carbon monoxide levels were high and comparable for both acrylic/fiberglass laminates during flaming test conditions. Under smoldering exposure, the CO level decreased substantially (roughly a factor of 10). In contrast, the CO levels for the Formica/aluminum laminate were below the acrylic/fiberglass laminate for flaming but above for smoldering conditions. The concentration of CO increased throughout the test for all materials and exposure conditions.

TABLE I

Lgph Kerosene Burner Flame Penetration Temperature Data

### TABLE I

# RADIANT PANEL TESTS ASTM-E-162

 $\vec{t}_3$  = 1 + ( $f_{t_3}$ ) +  $L(f_{t_2} - t_3)$ ] +  $L(f_{t_1} - t_9)$ ] +  $L(f_{t_3} - t_{12})$ ]  $\vec{t}_3$  ...  $\vec{t}_{15}$  corresponds to times in minutes for the flame front to reach 3...15 inches q = 0.1(7/8)£3 - F54

T: maximum stack temperature rise over that observed with an asbistos-cement Br maximum stack temperature ruse for unit head input rate of calibration burner (constant) board Specimen

For NAFEC apparatus - Asbestos/canont board tempo rise = 20°C - B = 0.59°C BTU/nin,

TABLE III

SMOKE AND CARBON MONOXIDE - FLAMING NO. 1 FIBERGLASS/ACRYLIC FACING (SWEDLOW)

		1 de 1	56	102	128	183	797	337	421	509	604	727	840	950	070	173	1309	1418	15/7	60%	670	1230
NOXIDE	E # 7.20	600m) (mod)	64 5	96 10		165 /	267 8	372 3.	469 4,		627 6	704 7	773 8	856 9	900 10	11 086	1063 15	1130 14	1198 13	1292 16	1388 16	1460 17
CARBON MONOXIDE	estal Testaz Test "3	(wss)	48	96	123	172	250	325	392	479	57/	285	808	300	6/0/	//53	1268	1364	/434	1540	1622	1730
(H)	Tes#1	(w s d)	56	1/4	138	214	267	3/5	402	499	919	796	940	1107	1292	1388	1596	1760	1920	926/	2000	2000
							1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									•						-
		AUS. Ds	7.75	4.60	10.6	16.4	22.7	29.4	36.4	44.8	54.4	64.2	74.2	85.7	4.96	107	2//	124	131	145	159	177
	# # J	D	1.15	4.80	6.0/	16.7	23.3	32.7	42.7	54.8	66.3	78.4	1.88	8.66	01/	6//	128	/34	138	145	152	191
	Test	1%	86	92	83	75.	29	57	48	39	32	26	25	8/	15	(3	77	0/	9.3	8.3	7.3	6.3
4 1	42	$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$	1.15	4.80	10.1	75.9	22.4	27.8	33.7	40.3	50.5	59.4	70.1	83.1	93.7	101	6//	128	134	143	148	156
MOI	Test	7 %	86	92	84	76	89	29	56	50	42	36	30	24	20	9/	13		0/	8.6	2.9	6.9
5	1 # *	Ds	1,15	4.21	6.01	17.5	22.4	27.8	32.7	39.2	46.5	54.8	65.5	74.1	85.5	93.7	103	110	123	146	/ 79	215
	Tess	1/2	80	20	83	74	68	20	57	12/	45,	39	33	28	23	20	/ /	/5	12	2.2	4.6	らら
		in me		2	8	4	5	2	, 1	a	0 0	01	//	2/	13	14	1.67	12/	17	a.	6/	20

%T = Per cent Light Transmitance Ds : Specific Optical Density Specimen No. 1

Initial weight = 51.9297 gr.
Final weight = 39.5853 gr
Weight Loss = 12.3444 gr

Specimen N. 2 Initial Weight : 54.6991 Final Weight = 39.8389 Weight Loss : 14.8602

Specimen No. 3 Initial weight-55, 1352 Final weight 45,0943 Weight Loss: 10.6409

SMOKE AND CARBON MONOXIDE - SMOLDERING NO.1 FIBERGLASS/ACRYLIC FACING (SWEDLOW) TABLE IL

							And the second s		the court of the state of the s				4						get a dauge and	***************************************			
105		io V	(wdd)	0	0	0	0	9	7	75,	22	27	30,	47	5,	9	00	0)	2000	130	141	191	180
NONON	Test"3	e er finer.	(w &b)	0	0	0	0	0	σ	/5	<i>स</i>	27	32	44	56	09	80	96	4//	130	138	165	180
CARBON MONOXIDE	Test"2	v2000	(bb m)	0	0	0	0	૭	9	75	22	32	40	48	56	69	80	96	1/4	138	251	165	180
CA	Tesfe1	•	(was)	0	0	0	0	9	e	15	22	22	32	48	56	09	80	96	105	123	138	155	180
							:							-			•						
							The second secon				-												_
		1.808.	25.	0.48	2.34	6.56	13.4	23.3	35.5	49.1	2.19	73.4	85.5	95.7	104	///	120	/30	134	138	145	149	153
	F # 53		Ds	0.58	1.72	6.13	12.9	23.3	34.8	47.8	59.4	72.0	85.5	96.7	107	1/4	123	134	140	145	651	155	091
	Test		27	66	16	96	80	67	55	44	36	29	23	6/	9/	14	12	10	9./	8.3	7.7	7.0	4.9
F	CX W		Dis	0.29	2.34	6.13	12.9	22,4	34.8	49.1	62.8	74.1	85.5	96.7	103	110	//9	128	/3/	134	142	145	147
SMOK	Test		1%	99.5	96	90	80	68	55	43	34	28	23	0,	17	15	13	//	10.5	0)	8.7	8.3	8.0
S	1 4		DS	0.58	2.95	7.42	14.5	24.2	36.9	50.5	62.8	74.1	85.5	93.7	103	011	6//	128	(3)	134	144	148	152
	Test		1%	00	95°	8	78	66	53	42	34	28	23	20	17	15,	/3	//	10.5	0/	8.4	2.9	7.4
	Acres of	0	(min)	7	2	m	4	<sup>1</sup> 2)	o'	\ \	W W	5	0/	7/	3/	10	4	15,	9	//	18	6/	200

%T: Percent Light Transmitance Ds: Specific Optical Density

Specimen No. 1 Instral weight: 59,2079 gr. Final weight = 52.8087 gr. Weight Loss = 6.3992gr.

Specimen No. 2 Initial weight: 58.0583gr. Final Wright: 51.47 R8gr. Weight Loss: 6.5855 yr.

Specimen 16.3 Instral Wordt 7:47.6676 gr Final Weight :33.521137 Weight Luss : 8.146597

TABLE I

### SMOKE AND CARBON MONOXIDE FLAMING No. 2 ALUMINUM/FORMICA FACING

					•	day and an end management of the state of						-				:		1	The second secon			And the second of the second s
20		1104; (mm)	25	67	128	308	287	363	940	5/6	509	089	766	840	880			950	986	1027	1063	1100
MONOXIDE	Tes. 7"3	(bsm)	32	.80	138	214	287	363	440	509	594	682	760	832	892	,		920	096	1000	6/0/	1063
CARBON	Fest R	(mod)	22	64	123	197	277	354	430	499	57/	650	715	961	898	939	:		980	6/0/	1063	1107
CARC	Test" 1 Fest" R	(61m)	22	56	123	214	297	372	646	540	650	737	820	892		-		980	1019	1063	1107	1130
		15. J.S.	/4.8	16.2	23.9	32,1	38.8	46.1	53.6	64.7	79.5	93.8	103	1/3	6//	122	126	126	130	130	126	123
	F.	Ds	15,2	17.5	26.9	34.8	40.3	46,5	53.3	64.5	76.2	90.8	103	1/4	6//	123	128	128	/34	/34	128	128
	Tes#	78	77	74	63	55	50	45	40	33	27	21	17	4/	/3	12	//	//	0/	01	0/	//
( F		Ds	6.3	13.7	20.7	28.8	35.8	42.7	5/.9	59.4	74.1	908	8.66	011	1/4	6//	123	123	128	128	128	123
SMOK	Test	7%	83	7.9	70	9	54	48	4/	36	28	2/	8/	<u>'</u> 2	14	/3	12	12	1	//	//	77
	4/	Ds	18.3	17.5	24.2	32.7	40.3	49.1	563	70.7	88.1	9.66	107	4//	123	123	128	128	128	128	183	6//
	Test	1%	S his	74	99	57	50	4.3	à	30	22	8/	9/	14	2/	12	//		//	//	U.	13
	en harrier	Tine min	/	N	m	4	ر,	7	, ^	a	0	10		12	1	14	143	9/	21	21	6/	20

27 = Percent Light Transmitance Ds: Specific Soffical Density

Spectamine No. 1

Sinistral Weight = 61.0157 gr.

Final Weight = 52,7048 gr

Wanght Loss = 8,3109 gr

Specimen No. 2 Initial Waight = 59,2443 gr. Final Waight = 51,2349 gr. Waight Loss = 8,0094gr.

Specimen No 3 Instial Weight = 61.0627 gr. Final Weight = 52,6836 gr Weight Loss = 8.3791gr.

Above weights include aluminum backing Approximate weight of 3X3X001R5 inch aluminum = 49.4gr.

TABLE II

## SMOKE AND CARBON MONOXIDE - SMOLDERING No. 2 ALUMINUM/FORMICA FACING

							•	and the second s																Wighter than a man a company to the state of
1	ا ا		Acis.		0	9	29	83	139	184	2/2	244	878	303	318	347	385	484	694	3/5	135	109	279	789
Andrew State Break State State Co.	CHEBON MONOXID	Tostus	(mse)		0	0	22	72	123	160	214	250	267	297	315	345	372	402	440	479	5/9	550	594	889
TOTAL AND	ON MC	Test "2	(reen)		0	e	32	72	/30	165	189	223	250	297	306	334	382	430	479	5/9	57/	9/9	099	683
	CARB	Tostol	(was)		0	0	32	105	/65	206	232	258	287	315	334	363	402	046	483	550	594	638	671	715
				The state of the s							,							•						
								The second secon	And the second purpose of the second	e de la companya de l														
			Acs. Ds		0	7.88	8.91	36.0	57.1	62.6	70.3	75.8	81.7	85.7	68.3	90.0	91.0	92.8	94.9	96.4	98.7	99.7	102	102
		# #	Ds		0	7.42	13.7	27.8	41.5	56.3	64.5	1.01	76.2	80.7	83.1	85,5	85.5	88.1	88.1	89.5	90.8	90.8	90.8	30.8
		Test	1%		100	88	79	62	49	36	33	30	23	25	24	23	23	22	22	21.5	21	21	12	12
	The	# 2	Ds		0	7,42	15.9	33.7	49.1	59.4	68.1	1.4%	80.7	85.5	88.1	90.8	93.7	96.7	93.8	103	107	011	114	1/4
- 11	SRO	1es+	1%		001	88	26	56	43	36	31	28	25	23	25	77	20	6/	18	17	9/	15	14	14
	*.	/ 2	D		0	8.79	20.7	46.5	62.8	72.0	78.4	83.1	88.1	8.06	93.7	93.7	93.7	93.7	96.7	96.7	98.2	98.2	9.66	8.66
		Test	1 %		00/	99	70	45	34	29	26	24	22	21	20	20	20	20	6/	6/	18.5	18.5	á	8/
			八万の		,	જ	7	4	Ŋ	vo.	^	900	0.	/0	//	12	3	4	k	6	17	$\hat{\omega}$	6	20

#T = Percent Light Transmitance Ds: Specific Septical Density

Specimen Nol Weight = 59,9179 3r. Final Weight = 55,6389 gr Weight 635 = 4,2790 gr

Specimen No. 2 Initial Weight=60.4142 gr. Final Weight = 55.7193 gr Weight Loss = 4.6949 gr

Specimen 16. 3 Initial weight : 60.33399r. Final weight - 56.4275gr Weight 2033 - 3.91299r.

Above weights include aluminum backing.

TABLE VIL

SMOKE AND CARBON. MONOXIDE - FLAMING NO, 3 FIBERGLASS/ACRYLIC FACING (ROHM and HAAS)

					•													and the second s	and the second s			1
				-								-			-			3 TO THE TOTAL TOT	0.000		.	
(1DE		Aug, (osm)	15-	36	164	240	263	296	330	392	470	009	745	876	970	1085	86//	1304	1399	1486	1582	1650
CARBON MONOXIDE	Test AZ	(lefu)	./5	40	180	240	267	306	345	430	529	099	796	920	6/0/	[113	1244	1340	1434	1512	1596	1650
CARBOI	Testol	(MAN)	/5	32	147	240	258	287	315	354	411	540	693	832	920	1040	1153	1268	1364	1460	1568	1650
						and the second s	and the second s												-			
																						- 3
		A 29.	0	50.1	153	204	205	195	981	180	178	/94	230	266	299	340	374	388	415	425	422	4/8
	4.	Ds	0	5.93	164	208	210	200	192	192	195	222	262	304	344	387	427	451	895	478	473	468
OKE	Test	7%	100	38	6,0	8.8	2,7	3.2	3,7	3.7	3,5	2.2	1'1	0.54	0.27	0.13	0.065	0.043	0.032	0.028	0.030	0.032
MS	# /	DS	0	43.9	141	66/	66/	681	179	89/	091	99/	197	828	253	293	320	325	362	371	37/	368
	Test	7%	001	47	8.8	3.3	3.3	3.8	4.6	5.6	6.4	5.8	3.4	2,0	5.7	0,65	0.41	0.37	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.18
		Time (min)		cy	3	4	5	9	7	α	2	0/	//	12	/3	7/	15	9/	//	8/	6/	20
					*.	-	an annual base track & both	the real property and the second	*					13		:			The second of the second of			-

27 = Freent Light Transmitance By Specific Optical Density Sectionen 16, 1 Instal Weight = 53.1108 gr. Final Weight = 31.2855 gr Weight Loss = 21.8253 gr

Specimen 16. 2 Instal Weight = 46.7641 gr Final Weight = 25,5393 gr Weight Loss = 21.2248gr 45.4%

TABLE VIII SMOKE AND CARBON MONOXIDE SPECIAL TESTS

			-																			
		,			į										The second secon	1	Manual Control of the					
(B) 3///2	00	(woon)	0	0	0	3	9	14	1/8	27	40	48	56	72	84	66	123	142	/65	189	214	250
ASS/ACI	KE	Ds	0	0	1,15	4,80	15.2	27.8	31.7	62.8	83.1	8.66	128	149	152	2/5	244	274	868	323	342	368
FIBERGLASS/ACRYLIC (3)	SMOKE	1%	00/	100	86	92	77	62	58	34	24	18	11	7.7	0.4	2,5	7.5	6.0	0,0	4.0	0,3	0,20
					-											-						
CN2 y (2)	00	(w8d)	0	15	123	165	681	223	258	297	354	440	529	605	099	715	160	820	892			046
FORMICA	KE	Ds	0	12.9	35.8	49.1	59.4	68.2	76.2	85.5	93.7	107	67/	128	134	140	140	140	140	140	140	14
FOR	SMOKE	7%	221	99	54	43	36	3/	27	23	. 20.	9/	13	//	01	6	6	6	6	م	<b>a</b>	6
(1)	00	(m & d)	22	88	130	250	392	499	605	704	184	856	903	952	-			980	0001	6101	1040	9801
FORMICA ONLY IN	KE	Ds	26.9	28.8	38.1	64.5	85,5	(03	011	6//	123	123	6//	///	1/4	011	107	103	9.88	95.1	30.8	88.1
FORMIC	SMOKE	7%	63	19	52	33	23	/ /	15	/3	12	12	/3	/3.5	14	15	9/	17	18	19.5	18	22
		(mru)		2	M	4	S	9	7	$\alpha$	0	0/	//	75	/3	4/	/5	9/	//	18	6/	20
		engerigan kapa. An engerigan	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O						i i													

(1) Fermica - Flaming Tost Condition Instral Weight : 11.2407 gr. Final Weight : 2.6836 gr Waight 2055 : 8.5571 gr = 76.1%

(2) Formaca - Smoldering Test Condition Smathal Warght = 11.3081 gr Final Warght = 5:9047 gr Final Warght = 5:9047 gr = 47.8 %

(3) Fiberglass/Acrylic (Robmot Haas) - Smoldering Test Condition Install Weight + 49. 690335 49.690335 40.702697 6.987787=18.1% ranal weighs weight Loss Frinal

ATTACKMENT

### MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT Between

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

and

NAREC 237 (Agreement No.

### BOEING VERTOL CO.

920-003-14T (Activity No.)

WHEREAS, the Federal Aviation Administration, hereinafte, referred to as the FAA, is in a position to furnish directly or by contract supplies, equipment, and services that are not readily available from commercial sources, and which Boeing Vertol Co., hereinafter referred to as Vertol, has funds available for and has requested, be obtained from the FAA as hereinafter set forth.

WHEREAS, FAA Order 2500.35B, dated July 7, 1972, authorizes the furnishing of supplies, equipment, and services by FAA to <u>Vertol</u> upon a reimbursable basis.

WHEREAS, NAFEC is in a position to furnish directly or by contract, supplies, equipment, and services that are not readily available from commercial sources and which <u>Vertol</u> has requested be obtained from the FAA is hereinafter set forth.

NOW, THEREFORE, the FAA and Vertol mutually agree as follows:

### ARTICLE I - Transit Car Sidewall Fire Tests

- 1. The work to be performed by the FAA will consist of fire tests on transit car sidewall sections. Testing will commence on or about June 10, 1974 (at the earliest date the sections can be fabricated and delivered to NAFEC). The following number of tests will be conducted:
  - 3 2-gph burner
  - 9 radiant panel (ASTM E-162)
  - 18 NBS smoke chamber

Three sidewall configurations, consisting of stainless steel, aluminum and fiberglas outer skins, will be tested to measure resistance against an external fire using the 2-gph burner. The flammability and snoke characteristics of three candidate fiberglas sheets will be determined with the radiant panel (three replicate runs) and NBS smoke chamber (three replicate runs, two exposure conditions). A brief test report will be prepared for the purpose of documenting the test procedures and recording, analyzing, and comparing the data. The report will be issued to the customer 3 where initiation of testing. Such work will be performed at the FAA WASTEC bushing 203 fire test facility.

- 2. The FAA will use its best efforts to accomplish the work in accordance with the above general work statement. The FAA will perform this work on a non-interference basis, i.e., subject to necessary schedule arrangements as made by the FAA. In this connection, the FAA will furnish the following supplies, services, and equipment:
  - a. Test report.
  - b. 16 mm color motion picture film of 2-gph burner tests.
  - 3. It is agreed that Vertol will:
    - a. Provide an Agreement Manager, authorized to formalize by an appropriate written amendment any change in the supplies/ services later deemed necessary.
    - b. Provide NAFEC with test specimens in size and configuration compatible with the aforementioned three fire test methods.

### ARTICLE II - Payment of FAA Costs

- 1. Vertol shall reimburse the FAA for all direct and indirect costs incurred by the FAA in performing work under this agreement, including but not limited to labor, supplies, materials and equipment, and outside contractual support. In addition, as reimbursement for overhead services costs Vertol will pay to the FAA a flat rate of 10 percent.
- 2. Upon revocation or termination of this agreement, for any cause, Vertol will reimburse the FAA for all necessary liquidating expenses.
- 3. The following are the estimated costs only (charges, however, will be based on actual costs):

10	Engineer man days @ \$13.56/hr	122	\$1,084.80
7	Engineering Technician man days @ \$11.11/hr	=	622.16
1	Photographer man days @ \$12.48/hr	, <b>=</b>	99.84
	Total		\$1,806.80
	Administrative Overhead (10%)	with the	180.68
	Total estimated cost		\$1.987.48

4. FAA billings will itemize the actual costs for labor, supplies, materials, equipment, and contractual support furnished to <u>Vertal</u> under this agreement. The FAA will utilize its best efforts to accomplish the work within the estimated cost, and will advise <u>Vertal</u> when it is determined that the actual cost will exceed the estimated cost. FAA billing will be rendered periodically, but not less frequently than after the close of each quarter during the FAA's fiscal year.

1 60

5. Vertol hereby identifies the office to which FAA bills will be rendered as Mr. William Dunton

P. O. Box 16858 Philadelphia, Pa. 19142 (Mail Stop P41-06).

6. Payment shall be submitted to the Chief, Accounting Division, ANA-20, NAFEC, Atlantic City, New Jersey 08405.

### ARTICLE III - Amendment

Any change in supplies, equipment, or services to be furnished under this agreement shall be formalized by an appropriate written amendment to the agreement which shall outline in detail the exact nature of the change. Vertol Agreement Manager, provided in Article 13a, above, will be authorized by Vertol and recognized by FAA to formalize and approve for Vertol all amendments required by Vertol.

### ARTICLE IV - Effective Date

- 1. This agreement supersedes any previous agreements between the parties on the subject matter set forth in Article I, hereof, and is effective when signed by duly authorized representatives.
- 2. The program may be conducted over a period of approximately 21 calendar days beginning on or about June 10, 1974.

### ARTICLE V - Liability

Vertol agrees to hold the FAA harmless against any claim by Vertol, or third person, for personal injury, death, or property damage arising out of work under this agreement. Vertol further agrees to reimburse the FAA for any damage to the FAA's property arising out of work under this agreement.

### ARTICLE VI - Date and Publications

Vertol may disseminate and use freely all data resulting from these tests, provided that no such data shall be published or otherwise disclosed by Vertol for product promotion or advertising purposes, that would state or infer FAA endorsement, except as may be authorized in writing by the Director, NAFEC.

### ARTICLE VII - Termination

Prior to commencing of work hereunder, this agreement may be terminated at any time by either party with 5 days advance written notices.

The FAA and <u>Vertol</u> agree to the provisions of this agreement as indicated by the signatures of their duly authorized officers.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION	VERTOL
Ву:	By:
Title:	Title:
Date:	Date: